

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,411	06/30/2003	Byung-sun Choi	Q73220	8067
23373 7590 04/24/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	1.W.	VO, TUNG T	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,411	CHOI, BYUNG-SUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tung Vo	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 I	March 2007.	-				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-13,15-18,20-23 and 25-45</u> is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,14,19 and 24</u> is/ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,9,10,12,13,17,18,22,23,27,29,</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,11,15,16,20,21,25,26,28,31 and 8)</u> □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	re withdrawn from consideration 30 and 32 is/are rejected. nd 36-45 is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a considered to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/608,411

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9-10, 12-13, 17-18, 22-23, 26-27, 29-30, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tajime (US 6,915,018) as set forth in the previous Office Action dated 12/22/06.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03/22/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argued that Tajime fails to teach the complexity estimation unit calculates complexity of a picture to be currently encoded, using complexity of decoded previous and current pictures output from the video decoding unit and complexity of an encoded previous picture output from the video encoding unit; and there is no suggestion to combine the teachings of different embodiments in a single reference of the remarks.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. It is submitted that Tajime teaches the complexity estimation unit (101 of fig. 1) calculates complexity of a picture to be currently encoded, using complexity of decoded previous and current pictures output from the

Art Unit: 2621

video decoding unit (11 of fig. 1, Note I, P, B pictures of MPEG) and the complexity estimation unit (101 of fig. 2) also calculates complexity of an encoded previous picture output from the video encoding unit (col. 10, lines 23-33), and the complexity measure in all pictures (col. 10, lines 11-18).

Since Jajime teaches the complexity estimation unit (101 of figs. 1 and 2) calculates the complexity of the decoded pictures (11 of fig. 1), the complexity of the encoded picture (13 of fig. 2), and the complexity measure in all pictures (col. 10, lines 11-18) and suggests various embodiments are possible within the scope of the essentials of the disclosure (col. 14, lines 55-57), so this is evident to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of figures 1 and 2 of Tajime together in order to improve the complexity measurement. In view of the discussion above, the claimed features are unpatentable over Tajime.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5-6, 8, 11, 15, 16, 20, 21, 25-26, 28, 31, 36-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Tajime teaches the transcoding having the complexity measure computing means (101 of fig. 18) for computing the complexity of the encoded and decoded pictures and quantizer step size selecting means (103 of figs 1 and 2). However, Tajime does not particularly disclose wherein the bit-allocation unit increases a number of bits to be allocated for the current picture if complexity of an estimated current picture is large, and decreases number of bits to be allocated

Art Unit: 2621

for the current picture if the complexity of the estimated current picture is small as specified in claims 6, 26, and 36; wherein the complexity estimation unit estimates the complexity of the current picture based on a product of a complexity of a decoded current picture and a ratio of a complexity of an encoded previous picture of the current picture to a complexity of a decoded previous picture of the current picture as specified in claims 37-45 as shown in the specification of the application [0049], [0053], [0057].

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,411 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

# **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621